IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 7472 of 1997
With
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 7621 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA Sd/-

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

JYOTINDRA M MEHTA - Petitioner(s)
Versus
CO OPERATIVE BANK OF RAJKOT LIMITED & 10 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR HARIN P RAVAL for Petitioner(s) : 1,
RULE SERVED for Respondent(s) : 1 - 5,8 - 11.
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent(s) : 6,
MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Respondent(s) : 7,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date : 18/12/2006

COMMON JUDGMENT

1 Learned Advocate for the petitioners states that both the petitions have become infructuous in light of the fact that the challenge was in relation to setting aside of election and the petitioners were protected in the interragnum.

2 In light of the fact that the term for which the petitioners were protected having come to an end, it is not necessary to enter into the merits of the controversy and the petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule discharged. There shall be no order as to costs. Registry to place copy of this order in connected matter.

Sd/-
(D.A. Mehta, J)

m.m.bhatt